

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
Ī	09/397,481	09/16/99	HAWS	.]	004578.1025(

QM61/0412

JERRY W MILLS BAKER & BOTTS LLP 2001 ROSS AVENUE DALLAS TX 75201-2980

EXAMINER ATKINSON, C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3743

DATE MAILED: 04/12/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/397,481	09/16/99	HAWS		J	004578.1025(
Г		QM02/0815	· _		EXAMINER
JERRY W MILL BAKER & BOTT	-		·	ATKINS	ON,C
2001 ROSS AV				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS TX 75	201-2980			3743	3
				DATE MAILE	D: 09/,15/00 , /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	09/397,481	Hans et al.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	Attibon	3743
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	an an	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	, a reply within the statutory minim fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication .
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•
☐ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excacordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 		
Disposition of Claims		
☐ Claim(s)	,	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
C, a.e a.e		
□ Ola:(a)		io/oro ollowed
C Oleim(a)		in/ore allowed
		in/ore allowed
C Oleim(a)	-/8	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
□ Claim(s)	-/8	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	-/8	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of	wing Review, PTO-948 isapproved bjected to by the Examiner.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 isapproved bjected to by the Examiner.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents has	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draf	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents had	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
□ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents had amber) international Bureau (PCT F	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been
□ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents had amber) international Bureau (PCT F	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been
□ Claim(s) □ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents had amber) International Bureau (PCT F	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents had amber) International Bureau (PCT F	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).



Art Unit: 3743

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide clear support for the claim terminology. 37 CFR § 1.75(d)(1) requires that terms and phrases used in the claims find clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description. Specifically, the term "heat absorbing material" does not appear in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Faghri ('308).

The patent of Faghri ('308) in Figures 1-7 discloses applicant's claimed invention. The space and microwave systems/devices are considered to include some type of antenna system.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/397,481

Art Unit: 3743

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603.

August 14, 2000

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER